

Table of Contents

I	Setting the terms of the debate: moral realism and Dworkin’s ametaphysical thesis	9
1	Dworkin’s ontological project: a criticism of moral realism and anti-realism	10
1.1	A challenge to archimedeanism	11
1.2	Objectivity and right answers	18
2	Moral realism and anti-realism	21
	1. <i>Realism as the idea that reality is independent of our mind</i>	24
	1'. <i>Anti-realism as the idea that reality is dependent on the mind</i>	28
	2. <i>Realism as the idea that truth should not be defined in epistemic terms</i>	29
	2.a <i>The philosophical project of truth</i>	30
	2.b <i>Michael Devitt’s Realist Theory</i>	37
	2.c <i>David Brink’s Moral Realist Theory</i>	47
	2'. <i>Anti-realism as the idea that truth should be defined in epistemic terms</i>	62
3	Criticism of Dworkin’s ametaphysical thesis	65
II	Dworkin’s methodological and interpretive projects: the need for a more robust notion of morality	72
1	Dworkin’s methodological project	76
1.1	A threshold objection	76
1.2	Force and grounds	78
1.3	Methodological aspect of theoretical disagreements	79
2	Dworkin’s constructive legal interpretation project	85
2.1	Two levels of abstraction in Law’s Empire	94
2.1.1	Conventionalism	94
2.1.2	Legal Pragmatism	100
2.1.3	Law as Integrity	101
2.2	The moral dimension	110

III	A reconstruction of Dworkin's Legal Programme in terms of either realism or anti-realism	119
1	Two arguments to support Dworkin's distinction between theoretical and semantic disagreements	121
1.1	A positive argument: a realist or ontological element explains the notion of 'genuine' theoretical disagreements	121
1.2	A negative argument: a realist interpretation to rescue Dworkin's idea of theoretical disagreements from Joseph Raz's semantic criticism	129
2	A reconstruction of Dworkin's Legal Programme	138
2.1	Dworkin as a realist	139
2.2	Habermas and Dworkin: the failure of a postmetaphysical reconstruction	140
2.2.1	Habermas' theory of communicative action	142
2.2.1.a	Habermas' distinction between practical and communicative reason	142
2.2.1.b	Language embodies reason: the linguistic turn	143
2.2.1.c	The postmetaphysical project: externality and metaphysics revisited	144
2.2.2	Habermas' critique of Dworkin's Legal Theory	146
2.2.3	A criticism of Habermas' postmetaphysical view	148
2.3	Dworkin as an anti-realist	149
IV	Michael Moore's Natural Law Programme	162
1	Michael Moore's ontological project	164
1.1	Functional kinds	168
2	Michael Moore's semantic project	174
2.1	A Realist Theory of Meaning	175
2.2	Moore's natural law theory of interpretation	177
3	Moore's epistemological project	178
4	Criticism of Moore's Natural Law Programme	179
4.1	Bix's criticism of Moore's semantic realism	180
4.2	A possible objection to functional jurisprudence	187
	Conclusions	191
	Bibliography	193
	Index	200